In this appendix, we will extend the aggregate expenditures model in two ways. First, we will express the model in general algebraic form and show how to solve it for the equilibrium level of real GDP. The advantage of using general algebraic expressions in place of the specific numbers that we used in the chapter is that we can then use the results to solve for any specific value that may pertain to a given economy. Second, we will show how the aggregate expenditures model can be used to analyze the impact of fiscal policies on the economy.
36.1 The Algebra of Equilibrium

Suppose an economy can be represented by the following equations:

Equation 36.1

\[ C = C_a + bY_d \]

Equation 36.2

\[ T = T_a + tY \]

Equation 36.3

\[ I_p = I_a \]

Equation 36.4

\[ G = G_a \]

Equation 36.5

\[ X_n = X_{na} \]

As in our specific example in the chapter, the consumption function given in Equation 36.1 has an autonomous component \((C_a)\) and an induced component \((bY_d)\), where \(b\) is the marginal propensity to consume \((MPC)\). In the example in the chapter, \(C_a\) was $300 billion and the \(MPC\), or \(b\), was 0.8. Equation 36.2 shows that total taxes, \(T\), include an autonomous component \(T_a\) (for example, property taxes, licenses, fees, and any other taxes that do not vary with the level of income) and an induced component that is a fraction of real GDP, \(Y\). That fraction is the tax rate, \(t\). Disposable personal income is just the difference between real GDP and total taxes:

Equation 36.6

\[ Y_a = Y - T \]

In Equation 36.3, Equation 36.4, and Equation 36.5, \(I_a, G_a,\) and \(X_{na}\) are specific values for the other components of aggregate expenditures: investment \((I_p)\), government
purchases \((G)\), and net exports \((X_n)\). In this model, planned investments, government purchases, and net exports are all assumed to be autonomous. For this reason, we add the subscript “a” to each of them.

We use the equations that describe each of the components as aggregate expenditures to solve for the equilibrium level of real GDP. The equilibrium condition in the aggregate expenditures model requires that aggregate expenditures for a period equal real GDP in the period. We specify that condition algebraically:

\[ Y = AE \]

Aggregate expenditures \(AE\) consist of consumption plus planned investment plus government purchases plus net exports. We thus replace the right-hand side of \(\text{Equation 36.7}\) with those terms to get

\[ Y = C + I_p + G + X_n \]

Consumption is given by \(\text{Equation 36.1}\) and the other components of aggregate expenditures by \(\text{Equation 36.3}\), \(\text{Equation 36.4}\), and \(\text{Equation 36.5}\). Inserting these equations into \(\text{Equation 36.8}\), we have

\[ Y = C_a + bY_d + I_a + G_a + X_n a \]

We have one equation with two unknowns, \(Y\) and \(Y_d\). We therefore need to express \(Y_d\) in terms of \(Y\). From \(\text{Equation 36.2}\) and \(\text{Equation 36.6}\), we can write

\[ Y_d = Y - (T_a + tY) \]

And remove the parentheses to obtain

\[ Y_d = Y - T_a - tY \]
We then factor out the $Y$ term on the right-hand side to get

*Equation 36.11*

$$Y_d = (1 - t) Y - T_a$$

We now substitute this expression for $Y_d$ into *Equation 36.9* to get

$$Y = C_a + b [(1 - t) Y - T_a] + I_a + G_a + X_{na}$$

*Equation 36.12*

The first two terms ($C_a - b T_a$) show that the autonomous portion of consumption is reduced by the marginal propensity to consume times autonomous taxes. For example, suppose $T_a$ is $10$ billion. If the marginal propensity to consume is 0.8, then consumption is $8$ billion less than it would have been if $T_a$ were zero.

Combining the autonomous terms in *Equation 36.12* in brackets, we have

*Equation 36.13*

$$Y = [C_a - b T_a + b (1 - t) Y] + I_a + G_a + X_{na}$$

Letting $\overline{A}$ stand for all the terms in brackets, we can simplify *Equation 36.13*:

*Equation 36.14*

$$Y = \overline{A} + b (1 - t) Y$$

The coefficient of real GDP ($Y$) on the right-hand side of *Equation 36.14*, $b(1 - t)$, gives the fraction of an additional dollar of real GDP that will be spent for consumption: it is the slope of the aggregate expenditures function for this representation of the economy. The aggregate expenditures function for the simplified economy that we presented in the chapter has a slope that was simply the marginal propensity to consume; there were no taxes in that model, and disposable personal income and real GDP were assumed to be the same. Notice that in using this more realistic aggregate expenditures function, the slope is less by a factor of $(1 - t)$. 
We solve Equation 36.14 for $Y$: 

$$Y - b \left(1 - t\right) (Y) = \bar{A}$$

$$Y [1 - b \left(1 - t\right)] = \bar{A}$$

*Equation 36.15*

$$Y = \frac{1}{1 - b \left(1 - t\right)} (\bar{A})$$

In *Equation 36.15*, $1/[1 - b(1 - t)]$ is the multiplier. Equilibrium real GDP is achieved at a level of income equal to the multiplier times the amount of autonomous spending. Notice that because the slope of the aggregate expenditures function is less than it would be in an economy without induced taxes, the value of the multiplier is also less, all other things the same. In this representation of the economy, the value of the multiplier depends on the marginal propensity to consume and on the tax rate. The higher the tax rate, the lower the multiplier; the lower the tax rate, the greater the multiplier.

For example, suppose the marginal propensity to consume is 0.8. If the tax rate were 0, then the multiplier would be 5. If the tax rate were 0.25, then the multiplier would be 2.5.
36.2 The Aggregate Expenditures Model and Fiscal Policy

In this appendix, we use the aggregate expenditures model to explain the impact of fiscal policy on aggregate demand in more detail than was given in the chapter on government and fiscal policy. As we did in the chapter, we will look at the impact of various types of fiscal policy changes. The possibility of crowding out was discussed in the fiscal policy chapter and will not be repeated here.

Changes in Government Purchases

All other things unchanged, a change in government purchases shifts the aggregate expenditures curve by an amount equal to the change in government purchases. A $200-billion increase in government purchases, for example, shifts the aggregate expenditures curve upward by $200 billion. A $75-billion reduction in government purchases shifts the aggregate expenditures curve downward by that amount.

Panel (a) of Figure 36.1 "An Increase in Government Purchases" shows an economy that is initially in equilibrium at an income of $7,000 billion. Suppose that the slope of the aggregate expenditures function (that is, \( b(1 - t) \)) is 0.6, so that the multiplier is 2.5. An increase of $200 billion in government purchases shifts the aggregate expenditures curve upward by that amount to \( AE_2 \). In the aggregate expenditures model, real GDP increases by an amount equal to the multiplier times the change in autonomous aggregate expenditures. Real GDP in that model thus rises by $500 billion to a level of $7,500 billion.

Figure 36.1  An Increase in Government Purchases
The economy shown here is initially in equilibrium at a real GDP of $7,000 billion and a price level of $P_1$. In Panel (a), an increase of $200 billion in the level of government purchases shifts the aggregate expenditures curve upward by that amount to $AE_2$, increasing the equilibrium level of income in the aggregate expenditures model by $500 billion. In Panel (b), the aggregate demand curve thus shifts to the right by $500 billion to $AD_2$. The equilibrium level of real GDP rises to $7,300 billion, while the price level rises to $P_2$.

The aggregate expenditures model, of course, assumes a constant price level. To get a more complete picture of what happens, we use the model of aggregate demand and aggregate supply. In that model shown in Panel (b), the initial price level is $P_1$, and the initial equilibrium real GDP is $7,000 billion. That is the price level assumed to hold the aggregate expenditures model. The $200-billion increase in government purchases increases the total quantity of goods and services demanded, at a price level of $P_1$ by $500 billion. The aggregate demand curve thus shifts to the right by that amount to $AD_2$. The equilibrium level of real GDP, however, only rises to $7,300 billion, and the price level rises to $P_2$. Part of the impact of the increase in aggregate demand is absorbed by higher prices, preventing the full increase in real GDP predicted by the aggregate expenditures model.

A reduction in government purchases would have the opposite effect. All other things unchanged, aggregate expenditures would shift downward by an amount equal to the reduction in aggregate purchases. In the model of aggregate demand and aggregate supply, the aggregate demand curve would shift to the left by an amount equal to the initial change in autonomous aggregate expenditures times the multiplier. Real GDP and the price level would fall. The fall in real GDP is less than would occur if the price level stayed constant.

In the remainder of this appendix, we will focus on the shift in the aggregate expenditures curve. To determine what happens to equilibrium real GDP and the price level, we must look at the intersection of the new aggregate demand curve and the short-run aggregate supply curve, as we did in Panel (b) of Figure 36.1 "An Increase in Government Purchases".

### Change in Autonomous Taxes

A change in autonomous taxes shifts the aggregate expenditures in the opposite direction of the change in government purchases. If the autonomous taxes go up, for example, aggregate expenditures go down by a fraction of the change. Because the initial change in consumption is less than the change in taxes (because it is multiplied by the MPC, which is less than 1), the shift caused by a change in taxes is less than an equal change (in the opposite direction) in government purchases.
Now suppose that autonomous taxes fall by $200 billion and that the marginal propensity to consume is 0.8. Then the shift up in the aggregate expenditures curve is $160 billion \( (= 0.8 \times \$200) \). As we saw, a $200-billion increase in government purchases shifted the aggregate expenditures curve up by $200 billion. Assuming a multiplier of 2.5, the reduction in autonomous taxes causes equilibrium real GDP in the aggregate expenditures model to rise by $400 billion. This is less than the change of $500 billion caused by an equal (but opposite) change in government purchases. The impact of a $200-billion decrease in autonomous taxes is shown in Figure 36.2 "A Decrease in Autonomous Taxes".

Figure 36.2  A Decrease in Autonomous Taxes

A decrease of $200 billion in autonomous taxes shifts the aggregate expenditures curve upward by the marginal propensity to consume of 0.8 times the changes in autonomous taxes of $200 billion, or $160, to \( AE_2 \). The equilibrium level of income in the aggregate expenditures model increases by $400 billion to $7,400 billion. All figures are in billions of base-year dollars.

Similarly, an increase in autonomous taxes of, for example, $75 billion, would shift the aggregate expenditures curve downward by $60 billion \( (= 0.8 \times \$75) \) and cause the equilibrium level of real GDP to decrease by $150 billion \( (= 2.5 \times \$60) \).
Changes in Income Tax Rates

Changes in income tax rates produce an important complication that we have not encountered thus far. When government purchases or autonomous taxes changed, the aggregate expenditures curve shifted up or down. The new aggregate expenditures curve had the same slope as the old curve; the multiplier was the same before and after the change in government purchases or autonomous taxes. When income tax rates change, however, the aggregate expenditures curve will rotate, that is, its slope will change. As a result, the value of the multiplier itself will change.

We saw in the first section of this appendix that when taxes are related to income, the multiplier depends on both the marginal propensity to consume and the tax rate. An increase in income tax rates will make the aggregate expenditures curve flatter and reduce the multiplier. A higher income tax rate thus rotates the aggregate expenditures curve downward. Similarly, a lower income tax rate rotates the aggregate expenditures curve upward, making it steeper.

Suppose that an economy with an initial real GDP of $7,000 billion has an income tax rate of 0.25. To simplify, we will assume there are no autonomous taxes (that is, $T_a = 0$). So $T = tY$. Thus, disposable personal income $Y_d$ is 75% of real GDP:

Equation 36.16

$$ T = 0.25Y $$

Equation 36.17

$$ Y_a = Y - T = 0.75Y $$

Suppose the marginal propensity to consume is 0.8. A $1 change in real GDP produces an increase in disposable personal income of $0.75, and that produces an increase in consumption of $0.60 (= 0.8 × 0.75 × $1). If the other components of aggregate expenditures are autonomous, then the multiplier is $2.5 (= 1 / [1 - 0.6])$.

The impact of a tax rate change is illustrated in Figure 36.3 "The Impact of an Increase in Income Tax Rates". It shows the original aggregate expenditures curve $AE_1$ intersecting the 45-degree line at the income of $7,000 billion. The curve has a slope of 0.6. Now suppose that the tax rate is increased to 0.375. The higher tax rate will rotate this curve downward, making it flatter. The slope of the new aggregate
expenditures curve $AE_2$ will be 0.5 ($= 1 - 0.8[1 - 0.375]$). The value of the multiplier thus falls from 2.5 to 2 ($= 1 / [1 - 0.5]$).

Figure 36.3  *The Impact of an Increase in Income Tax Rates*

An increase in the income tax rate rotates the aggregate expenditures curve downward by an amount equal to the initial change in consumption at the original equilibrium value of real GDP found in the aggregate expenditures model, $7,000 billion in this case, assuming no other change in aggregate expenditures. It reduces the slope of the aggregate expenditures curve and thus reduces the multiplier. Here, an increase in the income tax rate from 0.25 to 0.375 reduces the slope from 0.6 to 0.5; it thus reduces the multiplier from 2.5 to 2. The higher tax reduces consumption by $700 billion and reduces equilibrium real GDP in the aggregate expenditures model by $1,400 billion.

At the original level of income, $7,000 billion, tax collection equaled $1,750 billion (again, for this example, we assume $T_a = 0$, so $T = 0.25 \times \$7,000$). At the new tax rate and original level of income, they equal $2,625 billion ($0.375 \times \$7,000$ billion). Disposable personal income at a real GDP of $7,000 billion thus declines by $875 billion. With a marginal propensity to consume of 0.8, consumption drops by $700 billion ($= 0.8 \times \$875$ billion). The aggregate expenditures curve rotates down by this amount at the initial level of income of $7,000 billion, assuming no other changes in aggregate expenditures occur.
Before the tax rate increase, an additional $1 of real GDP induced $0.60 in additional consumption. At the new tax rate, an additional $1 of real GDP creates $0.625 in disposable personal income ($1 in income minus $0.375 in taxes). Given a marginal propensity to consume of 0.8, this $1 increase in real GDP increases consumption by only $0.50 ($1 \times (0.8 \times 0.625))]. The new aggregate expenditures curve, $AE_2$ in Figure 36.3 "The Impact of an Increase in Income Tax Rates", shows the end result of the tax rate change in the aggregate expenditures model. Its slope is 0.5. The equilibrium of the level of real GDP in the aggregate expenditures model falls to $5,600 billion from its original level of $7,000. The $1,400-billion reduction in equilibrium real GDP in the aggregate expenditures model is equal to the $700-billion initial reduction in consumption (at the original equilibrium level of real GDP) times the new multiplier of 2. The tax rate increase has reduced aggregate expenditures and reduced the multiplier impact of this change (from 2.5 to 2). The aggregate demand curve will shift to the left by $1,400 billion, the new multiplier times the initial change in aggregate expenditures.

In the model of aggregate demand and aggregate supply, a tax rate increase will shift the aggregate demand curve to the left by an amount equal to the initial change in aggregate expenditures induced by the tax rate boost times the new value of the multiplier. Similarly, a reduction in the income tax rate rotates the aggregate expenditures curve upward by an amount equal to the initial increase in consumption (at the original equilibrium level of real GDP found in the aggregate expenditures model) created by the lower tax rate. It also increases the value of the multiplier. Aggregate demand shifts to the right by an amount equal to the initial change in aggregate expenditures times the new multiplier.
36.3 Review and Practice
1. Suppose an economy is characterized by the following equations. All figures are in billions of dollars.

\[ C = 400 + \frac{2}{3}Y_d \]

\[ T = 300 + \frac{1}{4}Y \]

\[ G = 400 \]

\[ I = 200 \]

\[ X_n = 100 \]

a. Solve for the equilibrium level of income.
b. Now let \( G \) rise to 500. What happens to the solution?
c. What is the multiplier?

2. Consider the following economy. All figures are in billions of dollars.

\[ C = 180 + 0.8(Y_d) \]

\[ T = 100 + 0.25Y \]

\[ I = 300 \]

\[ G = 400 \]

\[ X_n = 200 \]

a. Solve for the equilibrium level of real GDP.
b. Now suppose investment falls to $200 billion. What happens to the equilibrium real GDP?
c. What is the multiplier?

3. Suppose an economy has a consumption function \( C = 100 + \frac{2}{3}Y_d \). Autonomous taxes, \( T_a \), equal 0, the income tax rate is 10%, and \( Y_d \)
Government purchases, investment, and net exports each equal $100. Solve the following problems.

a. Draw the aggregate expenditures curve, and find the equilibrium income for this economy in the aggregate expenditures model.

b. Now suppose the tax rate rises to 25%, so \( Y_d = 0.75Y \). Assume that government purchases, investments, and net exports are not affected by the change. Show the new aggregate expenditures curve and the new level of income in the aggregate expenditures model. Relate your answer to the multiplier effect of the tax change.

c. Compare your result in the aggregate expenditures model to what the aggregate demand-aggregate supply model would show.

4. Suppose a program of federally funded public-works spending was introduced that was tied to the unemployment rate. Suppose the program was structured so that public-works spending would be $200 billion per year if the economy had an unemployment rate of 5% at the beginning of the fiscal year. Public-works spending would be increased by $20 billion for each percentage point by which the unemployment rate exceeded 5%. It would be reduced by $20 billion for every percentage point by which unemployment fell below 5%. If the unemployment rate were 8%, for example, public-works spending would be $260 billion. How would this program affect the slope of the aggregate expenditures curve?